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ABSTRACT

We present the ‘Language of Mechanisation’ datasets with examples of re-use in visualisations and analysis. These reusable CSV files, published on the British Library’s Research Repository, contain automatically-transcribed text from 19th century British newspaper articles. Volunteers on the Zooniverse crowdsourcing platform took part in tasks that asked ‘How did the word x change over time and place?’ They annotated articles with pre-selected meanings (senses) for the words coach, car, trolley and bike.

The datasets can support scholarship on a range of historical and linguistic research areas, including research on crowdsourcing and online volunteering behaviours, data processing and data visualisations methodologies.
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TO CITE THIS ARTICLE:
(1) OVERVIEW

REPOSITORY LOCATION/DATA ACCESSIBILITY STATEMENT


The two datasets described are at:

- Language of Mechanisation: annotated historical newspaper articles https://doi.org/10.23636/st9m-0g59
- OCR and crowdsourced annotations, Language of Mechanisation, JSON files https://doi.org/10.23636/2634-km37

CONTEXT

The Living with Machines project was a multidisciplinary research collaboration between the British Library and Alan Turing Institute with King's College London, the Universities of Cambridge, East Anglia, Exeter, and Queen Mary University of London. It investigated how the introduction of machines into the workplace and landscape changed the lives of ordinary people in the long nineteenth century. Building on the British Library's work in the field, crowdsourcing was a key component of the project, positioned both as a form of public engagement with our research and as a method for annotating text at scale.

Within Living with Machines, the work package (mini-project) Language of Mechanisation, led by Barbara McGillivray, analysed the changes in the English lexicon related to mechanisation. The effects of mechanisation in 19th-century Britain had strong repercussions on aspects of Late Modern English, particularly on its lexicon. During this dynamic moment in the history of English, its vocabulary underwent rapid changes in both written and spoken sources. New concepts and entities related to technological innovations, social changes, geographical expansion and contact with other languages led to the emergence of neologisms and loanwords, sometimes expressed through new meanings of existing words via metaphorical shifts, semantic change and innovation phenomena (cf. e.g., Gorlach, 1999; Kay & Allan, 2015; Sussman, 2009).

In 2022–23, we designed and ran a series of crowdsourcing tasks on the citizen science platform Zooniverse. We asked members of the public to close-read articles from 19th century newspapers that mentioned specific types of machines that we thought would yield interesting results on semantic change related to mechanisation in 19th-century English.

The resulting datasets also inspired some Notebooks, designed and developed by our colleagues from King's Digital Lab (KDL) (Miguel Vieira, Tiffany Ong and Arianna Ciula) in collaboration with Barbara McGillivray, Mia Ridge and Nilo Pedrazzini. The Language of Mechanisation Observable Notebook analyses words that changed meaning during the 19th century. Alongside studying temporal changes, we also explore instances where words varied in meaning across geographical regions or were employed differently in newspapers based on their political alignment.

(2) METHOD

The crowdsourcing tasks built on lessons from earlier explorations involving the public in creating data about changes in language on mechanisation. These tasks drew on a design process (Figure 1) adapted from library crowdsourcing projects.

The aim of gathering crowdsourced annotations was to provide more finely grained and detailed information about the shifts that selected words underwent, while at the same time proving a validation test against which to compare the results of the algorithms.

1 https://www.bl.uk/projects/crowdsourcing-at-the-british-library (last accessed date: 14/10/2023).
2 The earlier tasks asked volunteers to transcribe text from articles about specific machines mentioned, and to match the ‘machine’ to senses from the Oxford English Dictionary (Ridge, 2020, 2022). Some results were visualised by Kalle Westerling for the project’s exhibition, co-curated by Ridge (Leeds City Museum, July 2022–January 2023).
SELECTING TARGET WORDS

The words trolley, car, bike and coach were selected as exemplars of the lexical field VEHICLE from a list of potential words that was obtained and subsequently narrowed down using the following criteria.

1. We used the Oxford English Dictionary (OED) Researcher API\(^1\) to query the Historical Thesaurus of the OED (HTOED) and extracted all nouns belonging to the semantic category Means of travel :: A conveyance (HTOED category 03.10.03.02) or any of its sublevels. We limited the search to words that, according to the OED, had at least one new sense attested within the period of interest (1780–1920), as semantic change in the lexicon of mechanisation in 19th-century English was one of our overarching foci.

2. We sampled from different groups of nouns, classified by their likelihood of undergoing abrupt and radical semantic change in the 19th century. We used Pedrazzini and McGillivray’s (2022a) decade-level diachronic word embeddings trained on 19th-century British newspapers digitised from the British Library’s collection (1800–1919)\(^4\) and followed the method described in Pedrazzini and McGillivray (2022b) to automatically detect significant changepoints. The approach involves setting a threshold\(^5\) for what counts as a significant change: with a higher threshold, the algorithm becomes more conservative, requiring stronger evidence of a change before considering it significant. We took into account the results of using both a stricter (group 1) and a more liberal (group 2) threshold, to ensure that both highly likely and only potential semantic shifts were detected and kept separate. Car and trolley were selected from group 1, whereas bike and coach were selected from group 2.

3. During the task design process, some senses from the OED for the selected words were merged to obtain distinct definitions along clear-cut dimensions (bike and trolley along the non-motorised/motorised dimension; car and coach along both the non-motorised/motorised and road/railway dimensions).

---

3 https://languages.oup.com/research/oed-researcher-api/ (last accessed date: 14/12/2023).
5 This is the penalty hyperparameter for the Pelt algorithm (Killick, Fearnhead, & Eckley, 2012). As in Pedrazzini and McGillivray (2022b), we used the implementation of the Pelt algorithm from the Rapture library (Truong et al., 2020).
ARTICLE SELECTION AND SAMPLING STRATEGY

To sample the newspaper articles to be annotated via Zooniverse, we extracted occurrences of target words in newspaper articles via a full-text search of the newspaper collections provided by the British Newspaper Archive for the Living with Machines project. For each of the words we extracted at least 1,000 random occurrences and their context (i.e., the newspaper article in which they appear). Using the associated metadata, in our sampling we strived to obtain balance across different metadata values, focusing on having a diverse range of newspaper titles and years of publication represented. We achieved this with the help of Pandas (McKinney, 2010; The pandas development team, 2023) sample method using those two variables as values of the parameter weights. This step was first performed on all digitised articles with an estimated optical character recognition (OCR) quality of >0.90 only. If not enough representativeness among newspaper titles and year of publication could be achieved for a particular word with this method, the same step was first repeated on articles with an OCR quality between 0.70 and 0.90, and subsequently on those with an OCR quality of <0.70, until all newspaper titles were represented to some extent. Finally, we used Defoe (Filgueira et al., 2019) to extract the digitised images of each newspaper article listed in the subsample for each word and highlight the words of interest in the relevant article images.

TASK DESIGN

We designed four annotation tasks (‘workflows’) in the Zooniverse platform: coach (#23681), car (#23628), trolley (#23452), and bike (#23672). Content design activities included writing and testing text on the Zooniverse platform, including ‘about’ pages, workflow titles, descriptions, instructions and help texts (introductory tutorials, task help and ‘Field Guides’), seen in part in Figure 2.

Volunteers were recruited via social media, via the British Library’s LibCrowds newsletter, and via Zooniverse’s live projects page.

QUALITY CONTROL FOR DATA ANALYSIS

Each article related to a word was annotated by multiple volunteers. To support the data analysis in the interactive Observable Notebook created with KDL, we calculated annotation agreement for each article. The average agreement was 83%. For subsequent analysis, we selected only the annotations that met a minimum agreement threshold of 65% (i.e., 2 out of 3 annotators agreed); this parameter can be configured by the user.
(3) DATASET DESCRIPTION

REPOSITORY NAME
British Library

CODE REPOSITORIES

Two code repositories were used to process raw Zooniverse data to create the datasets discussed here. A Jupyter Notebook that anonymises usernames and IDs with a stable generated identifier was updated to process data for deposit (Westerling et al., 2023). These CSV files combine Zooniverse data about volunteer actions (‘classifications’) with information about the images (‘subjects’) uploaded to Zooniverse.

A second set of Notebooks created by KDL summarises workflow activity, links it to newspaper metadata (Figure 3) and exports in JSON format (without personally identifying data); exported data is then used in an Observable Notebook, discussed below.

![Figure 3 A graph showing the number of images from papers with different political leanings (KDL Explore Notebook).](https://bl.iro.bl.uk/downloads/3f3d5097-b7b4-44e8-ab0d-dd45eca72721)

Table 1 Dataset description.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CSV FILES, PROCESSED BY WORKFLOW</th>
<th>Table 1 Dataset description.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WORKFLOW QUESTION</td>
<td>DATASET URL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>How did the word ‘trolley’ change over time and place?</td>
<td>#23452</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>How did the word ‘car’ change over time and place?</td>
<td>#23628</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>How did the word ‘coach’ change over time and place?</td>
<td>#23681</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bicycle or motorcycle?</td>
<td>#23672</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

README Language_of_Mechanisation_README_Data_Card_Mia_Ridge.docx https://bl.iro.bl.uk/downloads/3e1ed30d-5baa-457d-b894-81f8c89637ef

OBSERVABLE FILES, ORGANISED FOR VISUALISATION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FILES</th>
<th>DATASET URL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>annotations.json</td>
<td><a href="https://bl.iro.bl.uk/downloads/2ff1f51-b16c-4363-a664-9f0f6e9d277b">https://bl.iro.bl.uk/downloads/2ff1f51-b16c-4363-a664-9f0f6e9d277b</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>county_region_mapping.csv</td>
<td><a href="https://bl.iro.bl.uk/downloads/0b8fe042-5a0c-4f0c-9ada-66d4226b6e0f">https://bl.iro.bl.uk/downloads/0b8fe042-5a0c-4f0c-9ada-66d4226b6e0f</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Contd.)

7 https://zenodo.org/records/10401205 (last accessed date: 14/12/2023).
8 https://doi.org/10.23636/pbq5-9k28 (last accessed date: 14/12/2023).
### OBSERVABLE FILES, ORGANISED FOR VISUALISATION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FILES</th>
<th>DATASET URL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>date.json</td>
<td><a href="https://bl.iro.bl.uk/downloads/14b89f18-4a7f-4996-933d-038f0edce100">https://bl.iro.bl.uk/downloads/14b89f18-4a7f-4996-933d-038f0edce100</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>newspapers.json</td>
<td><a href="https://bl.iro.bl.uk/downloads/980baa2e-6b52-4d7e-ab56-404515fcb366">https://bl.iro.bl.uk/downloads/980baa2e-6b52-4d7e-ab56-404515fcb366</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>participants.json</td>
<td><a href="https://bl.iro.bl.uk/downloads/O30916cf-4ae7-452a-9c69-cd18b7fd78bb">https://bl.iro.bl.uk/downloads/O30916cf-4ae7-452a-9c69-cd18b7fd78bb</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>projects.json</td>
<td><a href="https://bl.iro.bl.uk/downloads/a0777e7b-b883-424a-9c7b-687976038e0a">https://bl.iro.bl.uk/downloads/a0777e7b-b883-424a-9c7b-687976038e0a</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>subjects.json</td>
<td><a href="https://bl.iro.bl.uk/downloads/acb96b91-0ada-4597-8ac3-09c72240fef8">https://bl.iro.bl.uk/downloads/acb96b91-0ada-4597-8ac3-09c72240fef8</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>subjects_image.json</td>
<td><a href="https://bl.iro.bl.uk/downloads/3d62f387-43c7-4b6b-0c3abc732210">https://bl.iro.bl.uk/downloads/3d62f387-43c7-4b6b-0c3abc732210</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>workflows.json</td>
<td><a href="https://bl.iro.bl.uk/downloads/1edd9317-f11a-4c0e-8d5b-33baf19b6deb">https://bl.iro.bl.uk/downloads/1edd9317-f11a-4c0e-8d5b-33baf19b6deb</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>README</td>
<td>README.md <a href="https://bl.iro.bl.uk/downloads/1eb4e4f9-0a8e-4b85-a8d4-40b34947a44d">https://bl.iro.bl.uk/downloads/1eb4e4f9-0a8e-4b85-a8d4-40b34947a44d</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### FORMAT NAMES AND VERSIONS

Our dataset contains two sets of files:

1. One CSV file for each workflow (trolley, car, coach and bike), designed for general use and including the crowdsourced annotations, automatically-transcribed text of the article excerpt from digitised newspapers, with metadata related to both the annotated newspaper article (newspaper title, place of publication, article date, region of interest (ROI) within the image of the page) and its annotation on the Zooniverse platform (name of the workflow, time of annotation, number of annotations per item, etc.). A detailed README file explains each field.\(^\text{10}\)

2. JSON files designed for the visualisations in KDL’s Observable Notebook\(^\text{11}\) and information about the content and purpose of each file in a README.\(^\text{12}\)

### CREATION DATES

2023-02 to 2023-05-15

### DATASET CREATORS

The original writers, editors and publishers of 19th century newspapers on the British Newspaper Archive created the articles included in this data.

5,587 Zooniverse volunteers contributed to Living with Machines datasets overall.

### LANGUAGE

English.

### LICENSE

CC-BY 4.0 International

### PUBLICATION DATE

2023

### (4) REUSE POTENTIAL

The datasets described here are the first annotated sense datasets for historical English and will be relevant to historical linguists interested in the evolution of the English lexicon in the 19th century. The transcribed newspaper articles may be of interest to historians and others studying mechanisation in British society. Zooniverse metadata enables research into

---

10 https://bl.iro.bl.uk/downloads/3e1ed30d-5baa-457d-b894-8148c89637ef.
12 https://bl.iro.bl.uk/downloads/1eb4e4f9-0a8e-4b85-a8d4-40b34947a44d.
crowdsourcing and online volunteering patterns of behaviour. Finally, the datasets might be relevant for Research Software Engineers and Research Software UI/UX Designers as inspiration for processing and visualising annotated texts.

As an example of the reuse potential of our dataset in historical linguistics and historical research, Figure 4, generated by our Observable Notebook, shows how the datasets can be processed, visualised and analysed to explore how the target words changed meaning during the 19th century.
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